



## Minutes

Date Wednesday, 24/07/2024

Time 1pm

To Standing Committee

At Virtual, MS Teams

Subject AWERB Standing Committee

Our Ref Doc.UBS.AWERB.24.07.24

**In attendance:** [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (observer)

**Scientists in attendance for 1a)** [REDACTED], **1b) core, 1c)** [REDACTED] **and 1d)** [REDACTED]

**Apologies:**

**Minutes:** [REDACTED]

### 1. Project Licences

**(a) New licence:** [REDACTED]

The committee discussed the following:

- Further information required in the Aims section in regards to predicted incidence rates.
- Further detail required in the Benefits section in regards to developing models that are more accurate.
- Further clarification in regards to specific wording in the Project Harms section.
- Further explanation required in regards to study designs in the Reduction section.
- Refinements included in the researchers' presentation could be usefully included in the Refinement section of the application.
- Further specificity required to enable the committee to assess the harm: benefit analysis.
- Further information in regards to monitoring and controls in place for specific procedures planned.
- Earlier interventions required in regards to specific adverse effects.
- Further information in regards to the scoring sheet planned for use.
- Further explanation required in regards to a typical and worst-case scenario for specific procedures planned, in the Animal Experience section for each protocol.

The committee agreed changes were needed before a draft is submitted to the Home Office.

**(b) New Licence:** [REDACTED]

The committee discussed the following:

- The removal of specific references in Protocol 9.
- Further review of the Non-Technical Summary so that it is more specific and focussed on the work planned.
- The committee layperson had some suggestions on how to make the Non-Technical Summary more suitable for the lay reader and so these comments will be sent separately.



- The revision of specific sentences in the Benefits section.
- Further updating of the training section required.
- Further review of the benefits and objectives so that they are more realistic to what can be achieved in the five year period of the application.
- Further review of the wording used in regards to the Objectives in the Action Plan.
- The inclusion of specific steps in Protocol 5 if they are required.
- The removal of specific sentences from step 1 of Protocol 5 as they are not required.
- The inclusion of a humane endpoint for specific procedures planned in regards to step 3 of Protocol 5.
- Further detail required in regards to the administration of specific substances in step 1 of Protocol 6.
- The removal of specific references in step 1 of Protocol 6.
- Further checking of the steps in all protocols to ensure anaesthetic codes are correct.
- The inclusion of an example of the score sheet intended for use in regards to step 1 of Protocol 7.
- The inclusion of specific wording for potential adverse effects in regards to Protocol 7.
- The removal of the last paragraph in Protocols 7 and 8 as they are not required.
- The removal of references to the NVS and NACWO in regards to specific adverse effects in step 1 of Protocol 8.
- Review of steps in Protocol 8 to ensure that step 2 is the mandatory step.

The committee agreed changes were needed before a draft is submitted to the Home Office.

### c) New Licence: [REDACTED]

The committee discussed the following:

- Review of the language used in the Non-Technical Summary as it is currently too technical.
- Consideration given to the researcher using another platform that would be peer reviewed to publish unsuccessful approaches in the Benefits section.
- Clarification required in regards to the timeline of specific adverse effects to ensure they are consistent with the humane endpoints listed in the Project Harms section.
- Further information in regards to adverse effects expected that might occur in specific animals in the Project Harms section.
- Further explanation required in regards to specific wording used in the Project Harms section.
- Further checking of the animal numbers listed in the Reduction section.
- Further revision of specific wording in the Project Plan.
- The inclusion of titles for all steps in the protocols.
- The committee recommended the researcher not deviate from the set templates in regards to Protocol 1 and 3.
- The removal of specific references in Protocol 5 and the Animal Experience sections of various protocols.
- The inclusion of additional adverse effects and consideration given to the inclusion of body condition scoring in regards to step 1 of Protocol 5. Consideration given to endpoints that relate to specific adverse effects included.
- The inclusion of an additional step in regards to Protocol 6.
- The inclusion of adverse effects for specific procedures in regards to step 2 of Protocol 7.
- Revision of specific wording in regards to the humane endpoints of step 2 and the general humane endpoints of Protocol 7.



- The inclusion of a new step or the removal of specific references in the Animal Experience section of Protocol 7.
- The inclusion of an additional step for specific monitoring in regards to step 2 of Protocol 11.
- The removal of specific steps if they are not required in regards to steps 1 and 2 in Protocol 12.

The committee agreed changes were needed before a draft is submitted to the Home Office.

**d) New Licence:** [REDACTED] was also in attendance)

The committee discussed the following:

- The inclusion of specific statistics in the Non-Technical Summary.
- Further information in regards to specific animals planned for use and their availability in the Project Harms section.
- The inclusion of further information around additional complications in regards to specific steps in Protocols 1, 2, 3 and 4.
- The inclusion of specific monitoring to assess recovery in specific steps in Protocols 1, 2, 3 and 4.
- The inclusion of specific examples of timely interventions identified by the scoring system in specific steps in Protocols 1, 2, 3 and 4.
- The inclusion of endpoints in specific steps of Protocols 1, 2, 3 and 4.

The committee agreed changes were needed before a draft is submitted to the Home Office.

## 2. Retrospective Reviews

(a) None

## 3. Minutes of last meeting 29/05/24

The minutes were approved.

## 4. Minutes of the AWERB sub-standing committee 08/05/24 & 12/06/24

The minutes from both meetings were noted.

## 5. Minutes of the AWERB Non-Regulated Procedure Request Committee 17/06/24 & 27/06/24

The minutes from both meetings were noted.

## 6. Minutes of the AWERB 3Rs Committee 05/06/24

The minutes from the meeting were noted.

## 6. Minutes of the AWERB Operations Committee 19/04/24 & 24/05/24

The minutes from both meetings were noted.

## 7. Matters arising from the minutes and AOB



Non-Regulated Procedure request (Teaching) – [REDACTED]

The committee discussed the following:

- The committee reviewed the request and had no comments for the researcher to address. They agreed this request would receive ethical approval.

**8. List items of note**

None

**Date of next meeting:** 28/08/24